

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/604,820	08/19/2003	Chao-Wen Chi	10990-US-PA	1819
31561 7	7590 06/01/2006		EXAMINER	
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			DONELS, JEFFREY	
7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100 TAIWAN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		1(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/604,820	CHI, CHAO-WEN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeffrey Donels	2837
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. Is from the mailing date of this communication. OONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the second se	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters	•
Disposition of Claims		•
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application of the control of the	ication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: ____.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/604,820

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

The finality of the rejection of the last Office action is withdrawn due to the finding of new art; a new rejection follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Futamase et al (USPGP 2004/0007120) in view of Swanson (USPGP 2002/0013784), "Scalable Polyphony MIDI Specification," "Japan-Inc Newsletter," "RTX and RTTTL specifications," and "Infrared Data Association Specifications for Ir Mobile Communications."

Futamase discloses a portable telephony apparatus (see paras. 0031 and 0032). Applicant argues that Futamase et al does not explicitly disclose decoding the digital music file via a firmware. Swanson discloses an audio data transmission system which comprises decoding files of various audio data encoding formats with firmware (para. 0043). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the teachings of Futamase with those of Swanson so as to allow for more efficient playing of audio files. With regard to Claim 9, it is well-known in the art that audio files of different formats have

Application/Control Number: 10/604,820

Art Unit: 2837

different ID s in their headers identifying the format. This is inherent in the Futamase and Swanson teachings.

Neither Futamase et al nor Swanson teach the digital music file formats, SP-MIDI, MFi, RTTTL, and i-Melody, recited in the claim. "Scalable Polyphony MIDI Specification," "Japan-Inc Newsletter," "RTX and RTTTL specifications," and "Infrared Data Association Specifications for Ir Mobile Communications" teach the digital music file formats SP-MIDI, MFi, RTTTL, and i-Melody as known ringtone formats. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt these digital music file ringtone formats with the Futamase / Swanson combination, as they are well-known and functionally equivalent formats in the art, and so as to allow the combination to utilize a greater variety of files.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. "Beatnik SP-MIDI," "Beatnik's Thomas Dolby Robertson Demos Compelling Audio Applications for Mobile Wireless Devices," Magith," "Magith ringtone composer 2.0," and "Beatnik mobileBAE" are further cited to show related teachings in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Donels whose telephone number is 571-272-2061. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

Art Unit: 2837

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 37. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeffrey Donels
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2837